#### Extract from Hansard

[COUNCIL — Wednesday, 1 September 2021] p3256b-3256b Hon Nick Goiran; Hon Matthew Swinbourn

### CRIMINAL INJURIES COMPENSATION SCHEME — REVIEW

### 599. Hon NICK GOIRAN to the parliamentary secretary representing the Attorney General:

I refer to the supplementary information provided to the answer to my question without notice 290 asked on 16 June 2021.

- (1) Will the Attorney General table the document recording the approval given on 21 September 2017 to develop a business case?
- On what date was it subsequently determined that a discussion paper and review report should be undertaken in place of a business case being developed?
- (3) Will the Attorney General table the briefing note or similar document that gave rise to this determination?
- (4) Will the Attorney General table the document recording the approval given to cease the development of a business case and to commence the preparation of a discussion paper and review report?

## Hon MATTHEW SWINBOURN replied:

I thank the member for some notice of the question. I provide the following response on behalf of the Attorney General.

(1) Please refer to the Attorney General's answer signed on 9 March 2018 to the member's additional question asked during the 2016–17 annual report hearings before the Standing Committee on Estimates and Financial Operations. I table the additional question for reference.

# [See paper <u>502</u>.]

- (2) There is no precise date. On 21 September 2017, in response to a recommendation from the Department of Justice, the Attorney General approved the development of a consultative business case pertaining to the Office of Criminal Injuries Compensation. The department developed a discussion paper to facilitate this consultation, and this fed into a review report, which was tabled in Parliament on 11 February 2020. There was no specific decision to cease the development of a business case. Rather, the department elected to respond to the Attorney General in the format of a discussion paper and review report in place of a business case.
- (3)–(4) Not applicable.